

# CO<sub>2</sub> EOR Yields a 37% Reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> Emitted Per Barrel of Oil Produced

**Utilization of captured CO<sub>2</sub> in Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) is a well-understood and verifiable process that can deliver CO<sub>2</sub> emission reductions.** According to life cycle analysis done by the International Energy Agency (IEA), which includes impacts from potential increase in oil consumption, each tonne of CO<sub>2</sub> utilized in EOR nets a 0.63 tonne emission reduction. Said another way, EOR results in 37% reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per barrel compared to conventional oil production.

These values assume a scenario in which operators perform site characterization and risk assessments before selecting the site for EOR activities, measure  $CO_2$  emissions from EOR processes, monitor for leakage of  $CO_2$  and ensure long-term containment of  $CO_2$  after injection has ceased.

## Life Cycle Emissions: Per Barrel Basis

Figure 1 illustrates life cycle emissions on the basis of a barrel of oil produced through  $CO_2$ -EOR. For comparison, a barrel of oil produced using conventional means emits 0.51 tonnes of  $CO_2$  on a "well-to-wheel" basis.<sup>1</sup>

CO<sub>2</sub> that is utilized for EOR eventually gets geologically trapped, permanently. Recovery of every barrel of oil produced through CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR typically involves injecting and utilizing 0.3 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> into an oilfield. The injected CO<sub>2</sub> helps release crude oil trapped in the pores of the source rock and in the process the CO<sub>2</sub> becomes trapped permanently in those pores. Hence, relative to conventional oil production, utilization of 0.3 tonnes of  $CO_2$  for EOR lowers the well-to-wheel emissions from a barrel of EOR-produced oil to 0.21 tonnes of  $CO_2$ .

But, EOR operations at the project level have higher process emissions than conventional oil production. There is a 0.03 tonne increase in  $CO_2$  emissions from processes such as separation and recycling of  $CO_2$ . Thus the benefit of  $CO_2$ injection drops from 0.3 to a net reduction of 0.27 tonnes per barrel, hence the well-to-wheel emissions go up from 0.21 to 0.24 tonnes of  $CO_2$ .

If all EOR-supplied oil displaced existing supply of conventional oil, then the net reduction in emissions



### FIGURE 1: NET CO2 EMISSION REDUCTIONS FROM ONE BARREL OF OIL RECOVERED THROUGH ANTHROPOGENIC CO2-EOR

<sup>1</sup> Well-to-wheel emissions refers to life cycle emissions from of oil that includes all emissions downstream of the oil extraction process until when the oil is combusted, for instance, in a car. However, for EOR, calculating life cycle emissions will need to adjust well-to-wheel emissions to include global oil market impacts.

would be all of 0.27 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> per barrel. However, the operation of global oil markets does not allow for this one-for-one displacement. IEA's global oil market analysis estimates that when oil produced through CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR hits the global market, 84% of EOR-supplied oil displaces existing supply and satisfies existing oil demand. The remaining 16% percent represents an increase in oil supply, which lowers the price of oil and results in increased oil consumption.

To account for market impacts, first, we must calculate net reduction only to the extent there is displacement. To illustrate in Figure 1, we "add back" the unrealized net reduction from oil that is not displaced, i.e.16% of 0.27 tonnes or 0.04 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>. Next, we "add back" emissions from the increase in oil consumption that would not have occurred if not for the increase in oil supply from EOR i.e.16% of 0.24 tonnes (well-to-wheel) emissions or 0.04 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>.

In the end, on a life cycle basis,  $CO_2$  EOR still delivers significant net  $CO_2$  reductions. On a life cycle basis, the IEA finds that every barrel of oil produced through  $CO_2$ -EOR results in a net emission reduction of 0.19 tonnes of  $CO_2$ . Compared to life cycle emissions of conventionally produced oil, EOR-produced oil emits 37% less  $CO_2$  (0.19 = 37% of 0.51 tonnes). See Figure 1.

# CO<sub>2</sub> EOR Results in a 63% Net Reduction per Tonne Stored



#### FIGURE 2: NET CO2 EMISSIONS PER TONNE OF CO2 STORED THROUGH EOR

### Life Cycle Emissions: Per Tonne Basis

Figure 2 (above) illustrates life cycle emissions from EOR, but on the basis of a tonne utilized for  $CO_2$ -EOR.

Utilization of one tonne of CO<sub>2</sub> is accounted for as a reduction of one tonne of CO<sub>2</sub> that would have otherwise been emitted at the source.

Project level emissions from utilizing one tonne of  $CO_2$  is approximately 0.1 tonnes of  $CO_2$ . Hence, the net  $CO_2$  emission reduction per tonne utilized for EOR is reduced to 0.9 tonnes.

Since only 84% of the oil produced through  $CO_2$  EOR displaces existing supply of oil, 16% of 0.9 or 0.14 tonnes of  $CO_2$  emission reductions not achieved have to be "added back" to the calculation.

The IEA estimates that the well-to-wheels emissions of oil produced as a result of utilizing one tonne of  $CO_2$  for EOR is 0.8 tonnes. Hence, 16% of 0.8 tonnes or 0.13 tonnes are additional emissions that need to be "added back" too.

As a result, on a life cycle basis, the net emission reduction per tonne utilized for EOR is 0.63 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>. See Figure 1.

This is not necessarily true in all cases. Net CO<sub>2</sub> reductions vary depending on the type of oil assumed to be displaced and displacement values. In the scenario detailed here, IEA assumes 84% displacement of the existing supply of conventional oil. IEA's analysis estimates that a life cycle analysis would yield a net reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions at displacement levels as low as 50%.

However, keeping market displacement values at 84%, if oil with the lowest carbon emissions intensity (as reported by Gordon et al., 2015) is displaced, then the emissions reduction benefit could be reduced to 0.47 tonnes of  $CO_2$  per tonne of  $CO_2$  utilized. Alternatively, if oil with the highest carbon emissions intensity is displaced, then the net reduction could be as high as to 1.50 tonnes of  $CO_2$  per tonne of  $CO_2$  utilized.