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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The oil and gas industry dumps 9 million tons of methane and toxic pollutants like benzene into our air each year. Methane is a greenhouse gas 87 times more potent than carbon dioxide at driving climate change and the oil and gas industry is now the largest source of methane in the U.S. But methane is just one harmful air pollutant from the oil and gas industry. As we recently demonstrated in the “Fossil Fumes” report, many of these toxic pollutants are linked to increased risk of cancer and respiratory disorders in dozens of counties that exceed U.S. EPA’s level of concern. These pollutants from the oil and gas supply chain also contribute to the ozone smog pollution that blankets the U.S. in the warmer months. Our recent “Gasping for Breath” report found that ozone smog from oil and gas industry pollution is associated with 750,000 summertime asthma attacks in children and 500,000 missed school days. Among adults, this pollution results in 2,000 asthma related emergency room visits and 600 hospital admissions and 1.5 million reduced activity days.

This report sheds light on the health impacts of air pollutants from the oil and gas industry that specifically threaten the health of Latino communities living near oil and gas facilities and in areas far from oil and gas production.

Many Latino communities face serious health risks caused by air pollution. What’s more, higher poverty levels and relatively lower rates of health insurance increase these health threats from air pollution translating into a bigger health burden on Latino communities. This report for the first time quantifies the elevated health risk that millions of Latinos face due to pollution from oil and gas facilities. Specifically, the report finds that:

• More than 1.81 million Latinos live within a half mile of existing oil and gas facilities and the number is growing every year.
• As a result, many Latino communities face an elevated risk of cancer due to air toxics emissions...
from oil and gas development: Nearly 1.78 million Latinos live in counties that face a cancer risk above EPA’s level of concern from toxics emitted by oil and gas facilities.

- The air in many Latino communities violates air quality standards for ozone smog: As a result of ozone increases due to oil and gas emissions during the summer ozone season, Latino communities are burdened by 153,000 childhood asthma attacks and 112,000 lost school days each year.
- Rates of asthma are relatively high in Latino communities.
- Many Latinos are particularly burdened with health impacts from this air pollution due to high levels of poverty and relatively low rates of health insurance coverage.

Air pollution is emitted from dozens of types of equipment and processes throughout the oil and gas sector, such as wells, completion operations, storage tanks, compressors, and valves. Many proven, low-cost technologies and practices are available to reduce these emissions, while also reducing emissions of methane, the main constituent of natural gas. Thus, policies that aim to reduce pollution from the oil and gas industry can help protect the health of local communities while addressing global climate change. In their Waste Not report, Clean Air Task Force (CATF), the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), and the Sierra Club called for EPA regulations to cut methane emissions from the oil and gas industry in half. These methane standards would also significantly cut toxic and ozone causing air pollution, which could have important benefits for air quality and public health in and downwind of oil and gas producing areas. In addition, stringent standards specifically for toxic and ozone causing pollutants emitted throughout the oil and gas supply chain are needed to ensure compliance with the Clean Air Act and to protect public health.

In June 2016, the EPA finalized strong methane standards covering new and modified oil and gas facilities. Although cutting methane from new oil and gas facilities is a step in the right direction, more important is cutting pollution from the 1.2 million existing oil and gas facilities. These standards will reduce the risk from the air toxics and ozone smog-forming pollutants from this industry, but without a comprehensive standard, the vast majority, at least 75%, of all of the wells and oil and gas infrastructure in use today, will remain virtually unregulated and can continue to pollute without limit. Existing facilities spewed nearly 10 million metric tons of methane in 2014—equivalent to 200+ coal-fired power plants. To reduce the risk from air toxics and smog-forming pollution from this industry, EPA must require pollution reductions from all oil and gas facilities, not just new ones.
CHAPTER 1

MANY LATINO COMMUNITIES ARE VULNERABLE TO HEALTH RISKS

Many Latino communities face serious health risks caused by air pollution.* As we discuss below, these health risks are caused by pollution from industrial facilities that are often located in the areas where Latino families live. This report sheds light on the health impacts many Latino communities face from oil and natural gas production, processing, and transmission facilities. These communities already face high levels of pollution from various sources,¹ and the added health threats from oil and gas development exacerbate their problems.

Many Latinos are exposed to high levels of pollution. The air in many Latino communities violates air quality standards intended to protect human health. While more than half of the U.S. population (51 percent) lives in areas with unhealthy levels of ozone,² Hispanics are 51 percent more likely to live in counties with unhealthy levels of ozone than are non-Hispanic whites.³

More than 1.78 million, or 3 percent of Latinos, live in areas where toxic air pollution from oil and gas facilities is so high that the cancer risk due to this industry alone exceeds EPA’s level of concern.⁴ And 1.81 million Latino individuals (4 percent of

* Note: In this report, we use the term “Latino” to refer to people in the United States who identify as either Hispanic or Latino unless we are citing a scientific study that specifically uses the term “Hispanic.” The U.S. Census Bureau is required to use Office of Management and Budget’s definition of “Hispanic or Latino” as “A person of Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, South or Central American, or other Spanish culture or origin regardless of race.”
the national Latino population) live within a half mile of an oil and gas facility—those within this half mile radius have cause for concern about potential health impacts from oil and gas toxic air pollution.

**Asthma threatens the health of children in Latino communities.** Approximately 8.5 percent of Hispanic children have asthma, but among some sub-groups, this rate is much higher: the asthma rate for Puerto Rican children is 23.5 percent, a rate that is more than 3 times higher than for non-Hispanic whites. Composed to non-Latino whites, Latinos with asthma are less likely to be prescribed appropriate asthma medications and less likely to have access to asthma specialists. And, those who have an asthma emergency that sends them to the emergency room or hospital are less likely to receive follow-up care or an asthma action plan. Moreover, Latino children are two times more likely to die from asthma than non-Latino white children.

Many Latinos are particularly burdened with the health impacts from this air pollution due to high levels of poverty and relatively low rates of health insurance. Latinos living below the poverty level are particularly burdened by the effects of air pollution. High poverty rates restrict housing options for Latino families, and lack of health insurance limits access to quality health care. These economic factors exacerbate the impact air pollution has on low-income Latino families. For example, studies often find similar rates of asthma in Latino and non-Latino communities but a much higher percentage of Latino children end up in the emergency room as a result of asthma attacks. This discrepancy happens because poverty and lack of quality health insurance can make it hard to keep the asthma in control resulting in more severe attacks and visits to the hospital. Thus, for the same health risk, the health burden is greater.

In 2014, 25 percent of the Hispanic population (including 32 percent of Hispanic children) were living in poverty, compared to 10 percent for the non-Hispanic white population (and 12 percent of non-Hispanic white children).

Even as overall levels of health insurance coverage are rising due to the Affordable Care Act (ACA), Latino populations are still uninsured at disproportionate rates. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), in 2014 about 26 percent of the Latino population under the age of 65 did not have insurance, compared to a 10 percent uninsured rate for the non-Latino white population. Overall Latinos accounted for an alarming 36 percent of the nation’s 35 million uninsured people, a highly disproportionate number when compared with the total percentage of the Latino population—17 percent. And, even with increased health insurance coverage under the ACA, there are barriers for many Latinos in accessing quality healthcare, including language and a shortage of Latino health care professionals.

As noted above, Latinos are more likely to end up in the emergency room for asthma than non-Latinos. In addition, asthma attacks lead to increased spending on health care, medications, and medical devices (inhalers, nebulizers) which is a burden on low-income households, and leaves less money and resources available for other needs.

The growth of oil and gas production in the US—by more than 30 percent since 2005—affects many Latino communities, whether located in urban or rural regions of the country. Nearly half of Hispanic individuals during that period lived in urban areas, compared to 38 percent living in suburban and exurban areas and 12 percent living in rural areas and small towns. While Latinos only...
made up a small percentage of the total rural population (9 percent), the number of Latinos living in rural areas has grown significantly—46 percent—just between 2000 and 2010, accounting for more than half of rural population growth over this time period. This distinction is important, because different types of communities face different levels of air pollution and experience differing health impacts. In urban areas, where most Latinos live, air pollution concerns are already elevated, so emissions from oil and gas facilities may exacerbate smog pollution and its associated health impacts. This may be true both when the oil and gas facilities are located in urban centers, such as Los Angeles or Fort Worth, and when urban centers are located further from oil and gas development—smog-forming pollution can travel great distances. In rural areas, where the Latino population is small but growing, overall air pollution from all industrial sources may be lower, but health risks faced by these communities can still be severe in areas with high amounts of oil and gas development, such as in parts of Texas, Oklahoma, and New Mexico.

In June 2016, Earthworks released the “Oil and Gas Threat Map”, an interactive map of the 1.2 million active oil and gas wells, compressors and processors in the U.S. The map shows how many Latinos live within a half mile of oil and gas facilities, and it indicates that those within this radius have cause for concern about potential health impacts from oil and gas pollution. It is not a declaration that those within it will have negative health impacts, nor does it quantify the threat posed by this pollution.

More than 1.81 million Latinos nationally (4 percent of the total Latino population) live within this half-mile radius (see Table 1, p. 8).

- Texas has by far the most Latinos living within the half-mile radius of any state: over 800,000 people.
- In California and Colorado, Latinos disproportionately live within the half-mile radius; the Latino population percent within the radius is higher than the Latino population percent in the state as a whole.
- And, in Kansas and Ohio, more than one in three and one in five Latinos in the states live within the half-mile radius, respectively.

A large and growing number of Latinos live in states with large numbers of polluting oil and gas facilities. Many of the states with the highest amount of oil and gas development also have large Latino populations. In the top four oil and gas production states of 2014—New Mexico, California, Texas, and Colorado—Latinos made up more than 20% of the population. And, in four of the other top oil and gas states—North Dakota, Pennsylvania, Oklahoma, and Louisiana—the Latino population has more than doubled since 2000, a time when oil and gas production in these states has also grown.
The oil and gas well data was downloaded directly from state government agencies, and it includes all active conventional and unconventional wells in 2014 and 2015. Gas compressor and processing plant data were primarily taken from a variety of state and federal databases. State and federal agencies do not monitor compressors and processing plants as closely as they do wells, so this data is not comprehensive in all states.


**AIR POLLUTANTS FROM OIL AND GAS & ASSOCIATED HEALTH CONCERNS**

**Methane**, the primary component of natural gas, is over 80 times more potent than carbon pollution when it comes to disrupting our climate over the coming decades. Methane also contributes to ozone smog formation.

**Toxic and Hazardous Air Pollutants** include a wide range of chemicals that are known or probable carcinogens and/or cause other serious health impacts. Among other chemicals of concern, oil and natural gas facilities are responsible for the following air pollutants, either emitted as a component of natural gas or a by-product of natural gas combustion that occurs at these sites. Exposure studies based on air measurements have identified levels of benzene, hydrogen sulfide, and formaldehyde near oil and gas sites that exceed health-based thresholds.

- **Benzene** has been linked to cancer, anemia, brain damage, and birth defects, and it is associated with respiratory tract irritation. Over time, benzene exposure can also lead to reproductive, developmental, blood, and neurological disorders. A 2012 study estimated a 10 in a million cancer risk—well over EPA's level of concern—for residents near a well pad, attributable primarily to benzene levels measured in the air near the well site. The EPA's National Emissions Inventory (NEI) estimates that over 20,000 tons of benzene was emitted by oil and gas sources in 2011. Benzene is a constituent of raw natural gas, so leaks and vents are the primary source of benzene pollution from the oil and gas industry.

- **Ethylbenzene** has been associated with respiratory and eye irritation, as well as blood and neurological disorders. The NEI estimates that over 2,000 tons of ethylbenzene was emitted by oil and gas sources in 2011. Like benzene, ethylbenzene is a constituent of raw natural gas and leaks and vents of gas are the primary sources of ethylbenzene.

- **Hydrogen sulfide** gas is primarily found near wells producing "sour gas." At high concentrations, it can cause severe respiratory irritation and death. At lower levels, it can lead to eye, nose, and throat irritation; asthma attacks; headaches, dizziness, nausea, and difficulty breathing.

- **Formaldehyde** has been linked to certain types of cancer, and chronic exposure is known to cause respiratory symptoms. The NEI estimates that nearly 22,000 tons of formaldehyde was emitted by oil and gas sources in 2011. Formaldehyde is primarily emitted from combustion sources such as flares and compressor engines.

**Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)** are precursors to ground level ozone smog. Ozone smog can impair lung function, trigger asthma attacks, and aggravate conditions of people with bronchitis and emphysema. Children, the elderly, and people with existing respiratory conditions are the most at risk from ozone pollution.
AIR POLLUTION SOURCES IN THE OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY

The oil and gas industry includes a large number of industrial sites across the country. These include hundreds of thousands of wellpads where oil and gas are produced, thousands of compressor stations which move natural gas from wells to markets, and hundreds of processing plants which prepare gas for high-pressure pipelines that take it to markets.

Raw natural gas (i.e., gas as it is produced from underground formations, before significant processing is done) usually contains significant amounts of ozone-forming volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and often contains significant amounts of toxic hazardous air pollutants (HAPs), though gas varies in composition from source to source. The HAPs in raw gas include hexane, benzene, and other aromatic chemicals; poisonous gases like hydrogen sulfide can also be present. As such, natural gas wellpads and the natural gas gathering pipeline and compression systems that move gas from wells emit substantial amounts of VOCs and HAPs, as do the processing plants that separate natural gas liquids (VOC species that are valuable components of raw natural gas) from the natural gas that is sent through pipelines to customers. Some of those pollutants remain in the gas even after processing. As such, emissions from facilities further downstream in the natural gas supply chain, like transmission compressor stations and local distribution equipment, still include some of these pollutants.

Crude oil production operations also emit substantial amounts of VOCs and HAPs. Methane, as the main constituent of natural gas, is emitted from all types of oil and natural gas facilities, from wellpads to the natural gas distribution systems in urban areas:

- **Oil and Gas Production:** The oil and gas production segment includes many diverse activities, such as production of hydrocarbons from underground geologic formations; separation of natural gas, oil, and water; and collection of gas from multiple wells through natural gas gathering pipeline and compressor systems. These activities in turn involve processes such as well drilling, hydraulic fracturing or other well stimulation, and well workovers; and they require equipment such as tanks, piping, valves, meters, separators, dehydrators, pipelines, and gathering compressors.

- **Natural Gas Processing:** Gas processing plants separate raw natural gas into natural gas liquids and processed natural gas that meets specifications for transport in high-pressure pipelines and consumption in furnaces and power plants. Natural gas liquids are hydrocarbons such as propane and butane. The processing removes most of the toxic components from the gas, but some toxins still remain.

- **Transmission and Storage:** Natural gas transmission pipelines carry gas from production regions to markets. This segment also includes facilities where gas is stored, either underground or in tanks. Compressor stations along pipelines maintain pressure and provide the energy to move the gas.

- **Natural Gas Distribution:** Finally, natural gas is delivered to customers (residential, commercial, and light industrial) via low-pressure underground distribution pipelines.
CHAPTER 2

HEALTH IMPACTS ON LATINO COMMUNITIES

Oil and gas facilities emit toxic air pollution and pollution that forms ozone smog. In two previous reports, “Fossil Fumes” and “Gasping for Breath”, CATF presented the public health impact of toxic air pollution and ozone smog, respectively, from the oil and gas industry. Here, we break out and discuss the public health impacts of these pollutants specifically for Latino communities.

The air in many Latino communities violates air quality standards for ozone. More than one in four people in the U.S. live in areas that violate the federal air pollution standard for ozone. This includes over 23 million Latinos—more than one in three Latinos in the U.S.26

These high ozone levels are caused by emissions from a variety of industries, but it is possible to separate out the increase in ozone that can be directly attributed to emissions from oil and gas facilities and its associated health impact. CATF’s “Gasping for Breath” describes an ozone modeling analysis that compares ozone levels in a 2025 “Baseline” case and a 2025 “Zero Oil and Gas Emissions” case. The difference in ozone levels between these two cases is the ozone that can be directly attributable to oil and gas.27

This increased level of ozone can be correlated with an increase in a variety of health impacts. The EPA uses peer-reviewed literature to estimate how these changes in ozone will affect public health.28
Using the same studies and methodology as the EPA used in its recent Ozone NAAQS rulemaking process, CATF’s ozone modeling estimates the impact on public health that can be directly attributable to ozone caused by emissions from the oil and gas sector. This increased impact on health is the difference between the number of incidents in the Baseline case and the number of incidents in the Zero Oil and Gas case. Nationally, using this metric, CATF estimates that over 750,000 asthma attacks for children and over 500,000 lost school days during the summer ozone season are due to ozone increases resulting from oil and gas emissions. After adjusting these total incidence rates based on the county level Latino population, the Latino population is burdened by 153,000 asthma attacks and 112,000 lost school days attributable to oil and gas air pollution each year. The burden of these health impacts falls more heavily on populations that already have high levels of asthma or who are already vulnerable in some other way. Figure 6 shows the number of asthma attacks due to oil and gas air pollution among Latino children in metropolitan areas across the country each year.

Four of the ten metropolitan areas with the most asthma attacks attributable to oil and gas ozone pollution are located in Texas: the areas in and around Dallas, San Antonio, Houston, and Austin. Other highly affected metropolitan areas, such as Los Angeles, Denver, and Albuquerque, are located in or near oil and gas production regions. In addition, the air pollution from oil and gas facilities has a large impact on some metropolitan areas that are located far from oil and gas producing...
### Table 2
Top 10 Metropolitan Areas by Latino Health Impacts Attributable to Ozone caused by Oil and Gas Pollution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metropolitan Area</th>
<th>Asthma Attacks (per year)</th>
<th>Lost School Days (per year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth, TX-OK</td>
<td>16,294</td>
<td>11,920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Antonio-New Braunfels, TX</td>
<td>9,746</td>
<td>7,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston-The Woodlands, TX</td>
<td>9,602</td>
<td>7,023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver-Aurora, CO</td>
<td>6,814</td>
<td>4,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York-Newark, NY-NJ-CT-PA</td>
<td>6,721</td>
<td>4,904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago-Naperville, IL-IN-WI</td>
<td>5,450</td>
<td>3,981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin-Round Rock, TX</td>
<td>4,809</td>
<td>3,505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA</td>
<td>4,274</td>
<td>3,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington-Baltimore-Arlington, DC-MD-VA-WV-PA</td>
<td>3,764</td>
<td>2,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albuquerque-Santa Fe-Las Vegas, NM</td>
<td>3,286</td>
<td>2,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>National Latino Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>153,373</strong></td>
<td><strong>112,212</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Gasping for Breath, U.S. Census.

### Figure 7
Percent of Latino Population in Counties above EPA’s Level of Concern for Cancer Risk from Oil and Gas Emissions

Source: Fossil Fumes, U.S. Census.
regions, like in New York, Chicago, and Washington, DC.

Many Latino communities face an elevated risk of cancer due to toxic air emissions from oil and gas development. In the EPA’s National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA), the EPA identifies and prioritizes air toxics, emission source types, and locations that are of greatest potential concern when looking at health risk from air emissions in populations. NATA estimates cancer risk that can result from toxic air emissions. The metric for cancer risk is the number of cancer cases per million people exposed; areas with cancer risk above one-in-a-million are considered to be above EPA’s level of concern. In CATF’s Fossil Fumes report,31 238 counties in 21 states faced a cancer risk above EPA’s one-in-a-million level of concern due to toxic emissions from oil and gas operations. In 2014, over 9 million people lived in these counties, of whom 1.78 million were Latino. Thus, while Latinos made up 17% of the total U.S. population in 2014, they make up 20% of the population in counties with high cancer risk due to oil and gas air pollution.

Of the Latinos living in counties above EPA’s level of concern for cancer risk, almost all live in Texas, Colorado, and New Mexico.

While the cancer risk estimates are based on the EPA’s most recent National Emissions Inventory (NEI) and projections, there is still a degree of uncertainty regarding emissions levels reported to the NEI. For example, in 2015, an expert review analysis in California identified the need to update emissions estimates, particularly in relation to understanding health threats for communities in the Los Angeles Basin. Thus, while no counties in California are above EPA’s level of concern in the current analysis, this may be a result of underestimated emissions reported to EPA, not an actual indication of low risk levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Number of Counties Above EPA’s Level of Concern for Cancer Risk</th>
<th>Total Population in High Risk Counties</th>
<th>Total Latino Population in High Risk Counties</th>
<th>Percent of Population in High Risk Counties that is Latino</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>4,124,893</td>
<td>1,441,213</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>410,392</td>
<td>106,922</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>250,179</td>
<td>89,322</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>794,736</td>
<td>64,489</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1,028,162</td>
<td>34,611</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>166,675</td>
<td>12,192</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>810,752</td>
<td>8,346</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>626,584</td>
<td>7,833</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>105,084</td>
<td>5,115</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>57,247</td>
<td>4,601</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>9,013,075</td>
<td>1,784,191</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Fossil Fumes, U.S. Census.
AIR POLLUTION THAT AFFECTS many Latino communities is emitted from dozens of types of equipment and processes throughout the oil and gas sector, including wells, completion operations, storage tanks, compressors, and valves.

- More than 1.81 million Latinos live within a half mile of existing oil and gas facilities and the number is growing every year.
- Latinos are exposed to disproportionately high levels of air pollution.
- Rates of asthma are relatively high in Latino communities.
- Many Latinos are particularly burdened with health impacts from this air pollution due to high levels of poverty and relatively low rates of health insurance coverage.
- The air in many Latino communities violates air quality standards for ozone smog.
  - Due to ozone increases resulting from oil and gas emissions, Latino communities are burdened by 153,000 asthma attacks and 112,000 lost school days each year.
- Many Latino communities face an elevated risk of cancer due to air toxics emissions from oil and gas development.
  - Nearly 1.78 million Latinos live in counties that face a cancer risk above EPA’s level of concern from toxics emitted by oil and gas facilities.

More needs to be done to address the air pollution resulting from the oil and gas sector that harms the health of our families and our communities. Through coalitions like Voces Verdes, Latinos are raising their voices about pollution and climate change and pushing for sound environmental solutions and policies. From supporting technology that cuts air pollution, to urging local, state and national leaders to address the pollution from the oil and gas sector, the solutions exist. Latinos, and all Americans, need to come together to push for stronger action.

Many proven, low-cost technologies and practices are available to reduce these emissions, while also reducing emissions of methane, the main constituent of natural gas. In fact, dozens of companies
in the methane mitigation industry are providing technologies and services to the oil and gas industry to help reduce methane and other air polluting emissions. These companies employ people at 531 locations in 46 states and are often well-paying and secure manufacturing jobs. The companies that do this work can create jobs that should be targeted to local communities.

In the meantime, while companies work on reducing their emissions, they can provide immediate relief and help to communities through:

- Asthma and cancer education programs in impacted communities,
- Long-term research on the impacts of asthma and cancer on worker productivity, family burden, and other measures of upward mobility,
- Vouchers for the purchase of inhalers,
- Promotion of patient assistance programs for asthma and cancer, and
- Incentives to increase physician teams in affected communities about asthma and cancer impact from oil and gas, through quality measures, patient centered medical home participation, continuing medical education, and other programs to educate physicians (webinars, grand rounds, twitter chats, newsletters, conferences).

In addition to these on the ground public health programs, pushing for policies that aim to reduce pollution from the oil and gas industry will help protect the health of many Latino communities while addressing global climate change. In their *Waste Not* report, CATF, NRDC, and the Sierra Club detail how EPA can cut methane emissions from the oil and gas industry. These methane standards could also significantly cut toxic and ozone smog-forming air pollution, which would have important benefits for air quality and public health in and downwind of oil and gas producing areas. In addition, many organizations are calling for stringent standards specifically for toxic air pollutants and ozone causing pollutants emitted throughout the oil and gas supply chain to ensure compliance with the Clean Air Act and protect public health.

In June 2016, the EPA finalized strong methane standards covering new and modified oil and gas facilities. The rule will cut 510,000 tons of methane pollution from new and modified oil and gas facilities—the equivalent of 11 coal-fired power plants, or taking 8.5 million cars off the road every year. In addition, the rule is also expected to reduce 210,000 tons of volatile organic compounds and 3,900 tons of air toxics annually by 2025.

**From supporting technology that cuts air pollution, to urging local, state and national leaders to address the pollution from the oil and gas sector, the solutions exist. Latinos, and all Americans, need to come together to push for stronger action.**

Although cutting methane from new oil and gas facilities is a step in the right direction, we must cut pollution from the 1.2 million existing facilities. Without a comprehensive standard, the vast majority, at least 75%, of all of the wells and oil and gas infrastructure in use today, will remain virtually unregulated and can continue to pollute methane without limit. Existing facilities spewed nearly 10 million metric tons of methane in 2014—equivalent to 200+ coal-fired power plants.

The Obama administration has taken steps toward cutting methane pollution from the 1.2 million existing oil and gas facilities by issuing an Information Collection Request (ICR) that requires oil and gas companies to provide EPA with the information to develop tough standards for these facilities—standards that will increase protections for many heavily Latino communities. Now is the time for affected communities to weigh in and ensure that we secure strong standards for existing oil and gas sources. Now is the time to set up our families, communities, and future generations for the healthy and vibrant society we hope to build.
ENDNOTES


7 Id at 7.


Data for 2015 from NHIS is expected soon. We expect that it will show a further decrease, but the disproportionate numbers are still likely.


12 Energy Information Administration (EIA). “U.S. Field Production of Crude Oil.” Available at: http://www.eia.gov/dnav/pet/hist/LeafHandler.ashx?n=PET&s=MCRPUS1&f=A


13 Natural gas converted to barrel of oil equivalent at 5.8 mcf per barrel of oil to calculate combined percent growth.

14 Ibid.

15 Ibid.

16 Oil and Gas Threat Map. See: http://oilandgastreathmap.com/.


Note: Many peer-reviewed studies based on independent measurements conducted in both oil and gas producing basins and urban areas consuming natural gas have concluded that official emissions inventories such as NEI underestimate actual emissions from oil and gas. E.g. Petron et al. “Estimation of Emissions from Oil and Natural Gas Operations in Northeastern Colorado.” Available at: https://www3.epa.gov/trutlatu/conference/e12sSession6Petron.pdf.

20 EPA. Ethylbenzene Hazard Summary. Available at: https://www3.epa.gov/airtoxics/hlthef/ethylbenz.html.

21 EPA. 2011 NEI Data.


24 EPA. 2011 NEI Data.

25 EPA. Ozone Basics. Available at: https://www.epa.gov/ozone-pollution/ozone-basics.


27 Baseline is EPA’s 2025 NEI projection, with additional reductions from CO and WY oil and gas regulations that EPA did not account for in its model. See Fleischman et al. (2016), “Gaspinger for Breath: An analysis of the health effects of ozone pollution from the oil and gas industry,” CATF. Available at: http://www.catf.us/resources/publications/view/226.
Studies cited include:

• Asthma exacerbations:

• School Loss Days:


30 Metropolitan areas defined by US Census Bureau. See: U.S. Census Bureau. “Metropolitan and Micropolitan Delineation Files,” Core based statistical areas (CBSAs), metropolitan divisions, and combined statistical areas (CSAs). Available at: http://www.census.gov/population/metro/data/def.html


33 Oil and Gas Threat Map. See: http://oilandgasthreatmap.com/.

34 The only existing facilities that may be regulated are those that may be covered by the BLM rules (not yet finalized as of August 2016) and the Control Technique Guideline Standards (not yet issued as of August 2016).

Latino Communities at Risk
The Impact of Air Pollution from the Oil and Gas Industry

Clean Air Task Force (CATF)
18 Tremont Street, Suite 530
Boston, MA 02108
www.catf.us

League of United Latin American Citizens (LULAC)
1133 19th Street, NW, Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20036
www.lulac.org

National Hispanic Medical Association (NHMA)
1920 L Street, NW, Suite 725
Washington, DC 20036
http://www.nhmamd.org

This report is available online at
http://www.catf.us/resources/publications/files/Latino_Communities_at_Risk.pdf